Gloucestershire Hospital January 2020 Citizens' Jury

Oversight panel bias questionnaire (for publication online)

Having reviewed the jury design documentation, how satisfied are you that the citizens' jury being run in January 2020 has been designed with the aim of minimising bias?

- [ ] not satisfied
- [ ] partially satisfied
- [ ] mostly satisfied
- [x] fully satisfied

How satisfied are you that the January 2020 citizens' jury was successfully designed to minimise bias?

- [ ] not satisfied
- [ ] partially satisfied
- [x] mostly satisfied
- [ ] fully satisfied

Note that Citizens Juries c.i.c. explained that the oversight panel was not given the opportunity to review certain materials, most notably changes to the jury questions and jury process (because these were made late when potential solutions were taken out of the jury scope), and slides from one presenter who would not provide these in advance.

Comments and qualifications to your answers above (continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

I have indicated mostly satisfied for the second statement above for the reasons stated already above i.e. late submission of documents & changes & absence of slides from one presenter.

Name

HILARY BROWN

Organisation and role

Senior Fellow (Academic) University of Birmingham

Signature

Hilary Brown

Date

6/2/2020
Gloucestershire Hospital January 2020 Citizens' Jury

Oversight panel bias questionnaire (for publication online)

Having reviewed the jury design documentation, how satisfied are you that the citizens' jury being run in January 2020 has been designed with the aim of minimising bias?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>not satisfied</th>
<th>partially satisfied</th>
<th>mostly satisfied</th>
<th>fully satisfied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How satisfied are you that the January 2020 citizens' jury was successfully designed to minimise bias?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>not satisfied</th>
<th>partially satisfied</th>
<th>mostly satisfied</th>
<th>fully satisfied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that Citizens Juries c.i.c. explained that the oversight panel was not given the opportunity to review certain materials, most notably changes to the jury questions and jury process (because these were made late when potential solutions were taken out of the jury scope), and slides from one presenter who would not provide these in advance.

Comments and qualifications to your answers above (continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

The procedure was thorough and designed to ensure that we checked and scrutinised for any signs of bias. The only negative comment here relates to what has been written above i.e. that certain materials were not ready to review and, in particular, the timescale was brief in which to read and ensure that sufficient scrutiny was applied. Nevertheless, with this caveat, I am content that the whole process was designed to minimise bias.

Name               Jem Sweet

Organisation and role Project Officer - Health & Wellbeing
Gloucestershire VCS Alliance

Signature  [Signature]  Date  05/02/20
Gloucestershire Hospital January 2020 Citizens' Jury

Oversight panel bias questionnaire (for publication online)

Having reviewed the jury design documentation, how satisfied are you that the citizens' jury being run in January 2020 has been designed with the aim of minimising bias?

not satisfied  partially satisfied  mostly satisfied  fully satisfied

[ ] [] [ ] [X]

How satisfied are you that the January 2020 citizens' jury was successfully designed to minimise bias?

not satisfied  partially satisfied  mostly satisfied  fully satisfied

[ ] [] [X] [ ]

Note that Citizens Juries c.i.c. explained that the oversight panel was not given the opportunity to review certain materials, most notably changes to the jury questions and jury process (because these were made late when potential solutions were taken out of the jury scope), and slides from one presenter who would not provide these in advance.

Comments and qualifications to your answers above (continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

_____________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________

I am not clear why someone who had not submitted their presentation in advance was allowed to use it

Nigel Burton

Patient Rep

5 Feb 2020

Name

Nigel Burton

Organisation and role

Patient Rep

Signature  Date

Nigel Burton  5 Feb 2020