

Gloucestershire Hospital January 2020 Citizens' Jury

Oversight panel bias questionnaire (for publication online)

Having reviewed the jury design documentation, how satisfied are you that the citizens' jury being run in January 2020 has been designed *with the aim of* minimising bias?

not satisfied	partially satisfied	mostly satisfied	fully satisfied
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

How satisfied are you that the January 2020 citizens' jury *was successfully designed* to minimise bias?

not satisfied	partially satisfied	mostly satisfied	fully satisfied
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Note that Citizens Juries c.i.c. explained that the oversight panel was not given the opportunity to review certain materials, most notably changes to the jury questions and jury process (because these were made late when potential solutions were taken out of the jury scope), and slides from one presenter who would not provide these in advance.

Comments and qualifications to your answers above (continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

*I have indicated mostly satisfied for the second statement above for the reasons stated already above i.e. late submission of documents & changes & absence of slides from one presenter.*

Name

*HILARY BROWN*

Organisation and role

*SENIOR FELLOW (ACADEMIC) University of Birmingham*

Signature

*Hilary Brown*

Date

*6/2/2020*

**Gloucestershire Hospital January 2020 Citizens' Jury**

**Oversight panel bias questionnaire (for publication online)**

Having reviewed the jury design documentation, how satisfied are you that the citizens' jury being run in January 2020 has been designed *with the aim of* minimising bias?

not satisfied	partially satisfied	mostly satisfied	fully satisfied
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

How satisfied are you that the January 2020 citizens' jury *was successfully designed* to minimise bias?

not satisfied	partially satisfied	mostly satisfied	fully satisfied
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Note that Citizens Juries c.i.c. explained that the oversight panel was not given the opportunity to review certain materials, most notably changes to the jury questions and jury process (because these were made late when potential solutions were taken out of the jury scope), and slides from one presenter who would not provide these in advance.

Comments and qualifications to your answers above (continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

The procedure was thorough and designed to ensure that we checked and  
scrutinised for any signs of bias. The only negative comment here relates  
to what has been written above i.e. that certain materials were not ready  
to review and, in particular, the timescale was brief in which to read and  
ensure that sufficient scrutiny was applied. Nevertheless, with this caveat,  
I am content that the whole process was designed to minimise bias.

Name Jem Sweet

Organisation and role Project Officer - Health & Wellbeing  
Gloucestershire VCS Alliance

Signature *J D Sweet*

Date 05/02/20

**Gloucestershire Hospital January 2020 Citizens' Jury**

**Oversight panel bias questionnaire (for publication online)**

Having reviewed the jury design documentation, how satisfied are you that the citizens' jury being run in January 2020 has been designed *with the aim of* minimising bias?

not satisfied	partially satisfied	mostly satisfied	fully satisfied
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

How satisfied are you that the January 2020 citizens' jury *was successfully designed* to minimise bias?

not satisfied	partially satisfied	mostly satisfied	fully satisfied
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Note that Citizens Juries c.i.c. explained that the oversight panel was not given the opportunity to review certain materials, most notably changes to the jury questions and jury process (because these were made late when potential solutions were taken out of the jury scope), and slides from one presenter who would not provide these in advance.

Comments and qualifications to your answers above (continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

I am not clear why someone who had not submitted their presentation in advance was  
allowed to use it

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Name*  
Nigel Burton

*Organisation and role*  
Patient Rep

*Signature*  
Nigel Burton

*Date*  
5 Feb 2020